

**REVIEW OF THE ACCEPTANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION
OF JIU RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE UNITED NATIONS
CHILDREN'S FUND (UNICEF)**

Prepared by

Jorge T. Flores Callejas

Joint Inspection Unit

Geneva 2016



United Nations

CONTENTS

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1-9	3
II. ACCEPTANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS	10-17	5
A. Below-average rate of implementation	10-11	5
B. Higher rates of acceptance and implementation of recommendations addressed to the executive head.....	12-13	5
C. Fluctuations in the trend of acceptance and implementation.....	14-15	6
D. High number of long-outstanding recommendations for five years or more.....	16-17	6
III. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS BY UNICEF LEGISLATIVE BODY	18-32	7
A. Dissemination of reports.....	20-22	7
B. Submission of CEB and executive head’s comments.....	23-26	7
C. Consideration of JIU reports	27-29	8
D. Decisions taken by the legislative body on JIU recommendations.....	30	8
E. Follow-up and reporting on the implementation of JIU recommendations.....	31-32	8
ANNEXES		
I. UNICEF long-outstanding recommendations for five years or more (2006-2009), as of May 2016.....		9
II. Consideration of JIU reports by UNICEF legislative body (2010-2012).....		11

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The General Assembly of the United Nations has repeatedly noted the importance of enhancing the effectiveness of the Joint Inspection Unit (JIU) and its follow-up system and has reaffirmed that the effectiveness of the JIU is a shared responsibility of the Unit, member States, and the secretariats of the participating organizations.¹

2. In its resolution 54/16, the General Assembly endorsed the proposal of the Unit to establish a system for handling of JIU reports and recommendations by its participating organizations. The proposal, entitled “Towards a more effective system of follow-up on reports of the Joint Inspection Unit”, was attached as an annex to the Unit’s annual report for 1996-1997.² Subsequently, the Unit undertook negotiation on specific follow-up agreements with the secretariats of its participating organizations, which were ratified by their respective governing bodies between 2000 and 2005. By resolution 2001/4, the Executive Board of the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) requested “the Executive Director to submit reports of the JIU to the Executive Board at its first regular session, along with a brief summary of these reports and, where appropriate, recommendations for action by the Board, as part of the agenda item dealing with part I of the report of the Executive Director. It also requested the Executive Director to inform the Executive Board of measures taken on the implementation of recommendations of the JIU.”³

3. In 1998, the Unit started tracking the action taken by legislative bodies on its recommendations. That tracking system evolved over the years into a web-based tracking system (WBTS), which was introduced in 2012. The WBTS serves as an online platform allowing participating organizations to access and update the status of consideration of JIU reports and the acceptance and implementation of recommendations. The General Assembly in its resolution 69/275 requests the heads of participating organizations to make full use of the web-based system and to provide an in-depth analysis of how the recommendations of the Unit are being implemented.⁴

4. The Unit is committed to further enhancing the effectiveness of its follow-up system and therefore decided to include in its programme of work for 2015 a review of the acceptance and implementation of JIU recommendations by its participating organizations for the period 2006-2012. The years 2013 onwards have been excluded from the analysis given the time it takes for reports to be considered by legislative bodies and for recommendations to be implemented by management. All recommendations prior to 2006 had been closed and their acceptance and implementation were no longer tracked.

5. The review is being conducted in two phases. The objectives of the present first phase are to review:

- The acceptance and implementation of recommendations by JIU participating organizations, based on the statistics provided in the WBTS, to prompt action to clear recommendations outstanding for five years or more; and
- The process of consideration of JIU reports by the legislative bodies of organizations in order to identify shortcomings and delays in the process.

¹ General Assembly resolutions 50/233, 54/16, 62/246, 63/272, 64/262, 65/270, 66/259, 68/266 and 69/275.

² A/52/34.

³ E/2001/34, E/ICEF/2001/6 of 22 January 2001.

⁴ OP.15.

6. A questionnaire on the process of handling JIU reports, notes and management letters was sent to the JIU focal points at each organization. The results of the first phase of the review are being presented in a series of management letters addressed to executive heads of participating organizations.

7. The second phase will identify good follow-up practices at organizations and draw lessons to enhance the follow-up process.

8. The present management letter, which is addressed for action to the Executive Director of UNICEF includes:

- A comparison of the acceptance and implementation rates for the period 2006-2012 in order to position UNICEF within the spectrum of JIU participating organizations;
- A trend analysis of the acceptance and implementation rates at UNICEF for the period 2006-2012;
- A review of recommendations formulated during the period 2006-2009 still outstanding, the acceptance of which is “not available” or “under consideration”, and/or the implementation of which is “in progress”, “not started” or “not available”; and
- An analysis of the process of handling JIU reports issued from 2010 to 2012 by the UNICEF secretariat and the Executive Board, and an analysis of the time taken for reports to be considered, taking into account the major milestones of the process (reports sent for action, the United Nations System Chief Executives Board for Coordination (CEB) and executive head’s comments issued and reports taken up).

9. Comments on the draft management letter were sought from UNICEF management and taken into account when finalizing the letter. In accordance with article 11, paragraph 2 of the JIU statute, the present management letter was finalized after consultations among the Inspectors so as to test its conclusions and recommendation against the collective wisdom of the Unit.

II. ACCEPTANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Below-average rate of implementation

10. When the present review was initiated in February 2015, UNICEF ranked 12th in the acceptance and 20th in the implementation of JIU recommendations among all participating organizations and entities considered in the review for the period 2006-2012. UNICEF's acceptance rate was well above the average of all organizations and its implementation rate (of accepted recommendations) was considerably lower than the average rate, as shown in the table below.

Table 1
Rates of acceptance and implementation (2006-2012)*

	UNICEF	All organizations
Number of recommendations	321	7692**
Number of accepted recommendations	258	5000**
Number of implemented recommendations	171	4020**
Rate of acceptance	80.4%	65%
Rate of implementation	66.3%	80.4%

*As of February 2015.

** Number of recommendations multiplied by the number of organizations concerned, to which recommendations are addressed for action.

11. As a result of the action taken recently by UNICEF to clear long- outstanding recommendations, the rate of implementation increased to 77.1 per cent in April 2016; it however remains below the average of all organizations (86.9 per cent). The rate of acceptance decreased slightly to 79.6 per cent but it is still well above the average (69.2 per cent). **The Inspector requests UNICEF management to continue efforts to increase the rate of implementation of JIU recommendations.**

B. Higher rates of acceptance and implementation of recommendations addressed to the executive head

12. Likewise in the most of participating organizations, UNICEF's rates of acceptance and implementation of recommendations addressed for action to the executive head during the period 2006-2012 were higher than the rates of acceptance and implementation of recommendations addressed for action to the legislative body. In principle, recommendations addressed to executive heads are more easily accepted and implemented since they do not entail significant policy changes or costs requiring the approval of member States.

Table 2
Rates of acceptance and implementation by addressee (2006-2012)*

	UNICEF executive head	UNICEF legislative body
Rate of acceptance	85.6%	67.4%
Rate of implementation	67.4%	62.9%

*As of August 2015

13. As of April 2016, the rates of acceptance and implementation of recommendations directed to the executive head remained higher at 84.1 per cent and 77.4 per cent respectively, compared to 67.8 per cent and 76.3 per cent for recommendations accepted and implemented by the legislative body.

C. Fluctuations in the trend of acceptance and implementation

14. It can be further noted that both the rates of acceptance and implementation of recommendations fluctuated from 2006 to 2012, although they generally increased over the period, as shown in the table below.

Table 3
Trend of acceptance and implementation (2006-2012)*

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Number of recommendations	41	32	49	45	58	58	38
Rate of acceptance	73.2%	62.5%	89.8%	71.1%	81.0%	96.6%	76.3%
Rate of implementation	33.0%	55.0%	68.2%	37.5%	87.2%	69.6%	96.6%

*As of February 2015

15. Despite the increase in the rates of implementation for the years 2006 (100 per cent), 2007 (80 per cent) and 2010 (95.7 per cent), after clearing a number of long-outstanding recommendations, the variable trend was maintained by April 2016. The rate of implementation for the year 2009 remained quite low. **The Inspector requests that UNICEF management undertakes an analysis of the reasons for this variable trend of acceptance and implementation of recommendations and report to the JIU no later than 31 July 2016.**

D. High number of long-outstanding recommendations for five years or more

16. A review of 167 recommendations in 24 JIU reports and notes addressed for action to UNICEF during the period 2006-2009 showed that, at the beginning of January 2015, there were 90 recommendations outstanding for five years or more, for which action should have already been taken by UNICEF to either accept and implement or to reject them.

17. By the time this management letter was being finalized in May 2016, 58 recommendations remained outstanding; the majority of them (71 per cent) are pending implementation. Action by UNICEF is required to clear the remaining long-outstanding recommendations, as applicable. Five years or more after being sent for action no recommendation should appear as acceptance “not available” or “under consideration”, implementation “in progress”, “not available” or “not started”. They should have been either accepted or rejected and the implementation of those accepted for the most completed. With 35 per cent of the recommendations issued during this period still being open, UNICEF remains among the organizations with the highest number of outstanding recommendations (see annex I). In its response to the draft management letter UNICEF indicated that it would continue work to clear the remaining outstanding recommendations.

Recommendation 1

The Executive Director of UNICEF should ensure that action is taken to clear the remaining long-outstanding recommendations and report to JIU by 31 July 2016.

III. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS BY UNICEF LEGISLATIVE BODY

18. The JIU reviewed the handling of 24 reports issued by the Unit during the period 2010-2012 containing at least one recommendation addressed to the UNICEF Executive Board.

19. The review found that UNICEF procedures for handling JIU reports were generally in compliance with the relevant provisions of the JIU statute (articles 11.4 and 12), to which UNICEF has adhered, and the provisions of the resolution adopted by the Executive Board in 2001,⁵ except for the submission of reports on the implementation of JIU recommendations to the Board.

A. Dissemination of reports

20. Article 11.4(c) of the JIU statute provides that upon receipt of reports, the executive head(s) concerned shall take immediate action to distribute them to the member States of their organization.

21. The resolution of the Executive Board requested the Executive Director to submit JIU reports to the Executive Board at its first regular session.⁶ The annual report to the Executive Board on the JIU recommendations provides hyperlinks to the Unit's website and the relevant JIU reports addressed for action to the organization during the year.

22. The response of UNICEF to the JIU questionnaire indicates that internally JIU reports are also shared with the technical focal points for each review, which are responsible for their distribution within the division and to senior management.

B. Submission of CEB and executive head's comments

23. In the case of system-wide reports, article 11.4 (e) of the JIU statute calls for the preparation of joint comments of executive heads within the framework of the CEB for submission to the competent organs of the organizations together with any comments of the respective executive head on matters that concern his/her organization.

24. In line with the mentioned resolution, the annual report to the Executive Board on JIU recommendations includes a brief summary and comments for each JIU report issued of direct relevance to the organization.⁷ The report includes, in an annex, the recommendations addressed to this body for action, together with the management comments on the recommendations.

25. The Inspector noted that the recommendations addressed to the executive head for action and comments thereon are not included in this report, as well as the officials responsible for the implementation of recommendations, which, if included, would be considered a good practice in terms of accountability. **The Inspector invites UNICEF management to complement its reporting to the Executive Board with this information.**

26. The annual report to the Board also refers to the consolidated CEB comments prepared; however, it does not provide access to these comments. **The Inspector suggests that hyperlinks are provided to facilitate access to the CEB comments.**

⁵ E/2001/34, E/ICEF/2001/6 of 22 January 2001.

⁶ Ibid.

C. Consideration of JIU reports

27. There is a standing annual agenda item of the Executive Board dedicated to consideration of JIU reports; which was until 2013, the first regular session held in early February; and from 2014, the annual session that takes place in June. All JIU reports sent for action to UNICEF during the period 2010-2012 were considered by the Board (see annex II).

28. The majority of the JIU reports were considered within the year of issuance; one third of the reports were taken up after one year. The Inspector noted that the consideration of half of the reports taken up after one year was delayed as the result of the recent decision to move the consideration of JIU reports from the first regular session of the Executive Board at the beginning of the year to the annual session by mid-year. **The Inspector encourages UNICEF to timely consider JIU reports to enhance their impact, and invites UNICEF management to assess the implication of this change in the agenda for the timely consideration of JIU reports.** In its comments to the present draft management letter UNICEF indicated that it had taken note of the suggestion and explained that the JIU reports were considered under the same agenda item as the Executive Director's annual report which is at present discussed during the annual session of the Executive Board in June (rather than February).

29. The Inspector noted that in several instances no or inaccurate information was provided in the WBTS on the consideration of reports (date of issuance of the executive head's comments and action taken by the legislative body) (see annex II) **and requested UNICEF to take corrective action.** UNICEF responded that it was in the process of updating this information.

D. Decisions taken by the legislative body on JIU recommendations

30. The Inspector also noted that the annual report to the Executive Board on JIU recommendations does not contain any draft decision for action by the Board to accept the comments on the report and recommendations. Therefore, the Executive Board does not take any decision on this document and the summary report of the session does not make reference to it. It appears, however, that although no decision is formally taken on the report and recommendations, the comments provided on each recommendation in the annex of the report constitute the basis for recording the status of acceptance of the recommendations in the WBTS. Yet, these comments do not always clearly indicate whether the recommendation is accepted or not. **The Inspector invites UNICEF management to be more specific and indicate every time the acceptance or rejection of recommendations and to request the Executive Board to take decision on the proposed course of action.**

E. Follow-up and reporting on the implementation of JIU recommendations

31. In line with article 12 of the JIU statute, the executive heads shall ensure expeditious implementation of approved/accepted recommendations. The 2001 resolution of the UNICEF Executive Board requested the Executive Director "to inform the Executive Board of measures taken on the implementations of JIU recommendations".⁸ The Inspector noted that no such reporting was done and suggested that the annual report to the Executive Board on JIU recommendations should include a section dedicated to the implementation of JIU recommendations. UNICEF initiated such reporting with effect from 2016 for recommendations issued during the preceding year. It is suggested that reporting would be extended to all recommendations pending implementation.

⁸ E/2001/34, E/ICEF/2001/6.

32. We would appreciate receiving a response to this management letter and recommendations by 31 July 2016.

Annex I
UNICEF long-outstanding recommendations for five years or more (2006-2009),
as of May 2016

Report/note/ML	Recommendation No.	Status	Addressee
JIU/REP/2006/4	1	Acceptance: Not available	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2007/1	1	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	4	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	5	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
JIU/NOTE/2007/2	1	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	3	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2007/2	1	Implementation: Not started	Governing Body
	4	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
	5	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
JIU/REP/2007/6	2	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	3	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
	5	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2007/12	10	Acceptance: Not available	Executive Head
JIU/NOTE/2008/2	5	Implementation: Not started	Executive Head
	9	Implementation: Not started	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2008/3	1	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2008/4	4	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
	5	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	8	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	11	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2008/5	6	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
JIU/REP/2008/6	5	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	6	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
	7	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	8	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
JIU/NOTE/2009/2	7	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
	8	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
	9	Acceptance: Under consideration	Governing Body
JIU/REP/2009/5	1	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	2	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	3	Acceptance: Under consideration	Executive Head
	6	Acceptance: Under consideration	Executive Head
	7	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head

Report/note/ML	Recommendation No.	Status	Addressee
	10	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	11	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	12	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	13	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	14	Implementation: In progress	Executive Head
	15	Acceptance: Not available	Executive Head
	16	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
JIU/REP/2009/6	1	Implementation: Not available	Governing Body
	3	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	4	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	5	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	6	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	11	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	12	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
	13	Implementation: Not available	Executive Head
JIU/REP/2009/8	4	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	5	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	6	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	7	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	9	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	10	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	12	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
	13	Acceptance: Not available	Governing Body
JIU/REP/2009/9	1	Implementation: In progress	Governing Body
Total outstanding recommendations		58	

Annex II
Consideration of JIU reports by UNICEF legislative body (2010- 2012)

Report	Type of report (system-wide, several or single organization) (a)	Date report sent for action (b)	Date of issuance of CEB comments and document reference (c)	Time taken by CEB secretariat to issue comments (in months) (d)=(c) – (b)	Date of issuance of executive head comments and document reference (e)	Time taken by executive head to issue comments (in months) (f)= (e) –(b)	Date report taken up by legislative body and document reference (g)	Time between report sent for action and taken up by legislative body (in months) (h) = (g) –(b)	Action taken by legislative bodies (j)	Remarks (k)
JIU/REP/2012/12	SWR	15/02/2013	23/05/2013 A/67/873/Add.1	3 months	01/04/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	13.5 months	05/06/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	15.5 months	No decision taken	> 1 year to be considered
JIU/REP/2012/11	SWR	07/03/2013	20/05/2013 A/67/867/Add.1	2.5 months	01/04/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	13 months	05/06/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	15 months	No decision taken	To correct dates comments of in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB are the same. > 1 year to be considered.
JIU/REP/2012/9	SWR	28/02/2013	19/09/2013 A/68/373/Add.1	6.5 months	01/04/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	13 months	05/06/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	15 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same. > 1 year to be considered.
JIU/REP/2012/8	SWR	28/06/2013	04/09/2013 A/68/344/Add.1	2 months	01/04/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	9 months	05/06/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	11 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same.

Report	Type of report (system-wide, several or single organization) (a)	Date report sent for action (b)	Date of issuance of CEB comments and document reference (c)	Time taken by CEB secretariat to issue comments (in months) (d)=(c) – (b)	Date of issuance of executive head comments and document reference (e)	Time taken by executive head to issue comments (in months) (f)= (e) –(b)	Date report taken up by legislative body and document reference (g)	Time between report sent for action and taken up by legislative body (in months) (h) = (g) –(b)	Action taken by legislative bodies (j)	Remarks (k)
JIU/REP/2012/5	SWR	28/02/2013	19/09/2013 A/68/67/Add.1	6.5 months	01/04/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	13 months	05/06/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	15 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same. > 1 year to be considered.
JIU/REP/2012/4	SWR	22/10/2012	21/06/2013 A/67/888/Add.1	8 months	01/04/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	17.5 months	05/06/2014 E/ICEF/2014/9	19.5 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same. > 1 year to be considered.
JIU/REP/2012/2	SWR	11/05/2012	28/09/2012 A/67/337/Add.1	4.5 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	6.5 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	9.5 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2011/11	SWR	11/04/2012	01/03/2013 A/68/63/Add.1	10.5 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	7.5 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	9.5 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2011/10	SEV	15/03/2012	11/10/2012 A/67/136/Add.1	7 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	8.5 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	10.5 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2011/9	SWR	09/03/2012	29/06/2012 A/67/119/Add.1	3.5 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	8.5 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	11 months	No decision taken	

Report	Type of report (system-wide, several or single organization) (a)	Date report sent for action (b)	Date of issuance of CEB comments and document reference (c)	Time taken by CEB secretariat to issue comments (in months) (d)=(c) – (b)	Date of issuance of executive head comments and document reference (e)	Time taken by executive head to issue comments (in months) (f)= (e) –(b)	Date report taken up by legislative body and document reference (g)	Time between report sent for action and taken up by legislative body (in months) (h) = (g) –(b)	Action taken by legislative bodies (j)	Remarks (k)
JIU/REP/2011/7	SWR	29/03/2012	29/08/2012 A/67/140/Add.1	5 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	8 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	10 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same.
JIU/REP/2011/6	SWR	21/02/2012	02/07/2012 A/67/83/Add.1	4 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	9 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	11.5 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2011/5	SWR	21/02/2012	28/02/2012 A/66/710/Add.1	7 days	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	9 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	11.5 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same.
JIU/REP/2011/4	SWR	29/03/2012	15/06/2012 A/67/78/Add.1	2.5 months	04/12/2012 E/ICEF/2013/6	8 months	07/02/2013 E/ICEF/2013/6	10 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2011/3	SWR	08/07/2011	29/02/2012 A/66/717/Add.1	7.5 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	4.5 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	7 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2011/1	SWR	10/06/2011	23/03/2012 A/66/327/Add.1	9 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	5.5 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	8 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2010/8	SWR	29/03/2011	23/09/2011 A/66/355/Add.1	5.5 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	8 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	10 months	No decision taken	

Report	Type of report (system-wide, several or single organization) (a)	Date report sent for action (b)	Date of issuance of CEB comments and document reference (c)	Time taken by CEB secretariat to issue comments (in months) (d)=(c) – (b)	Date of issuance of executive head comments and document reference (e)	Time taken by executive head to issue comments (in months) (f)= (e) –(b)	Date report taken up by legislative body and document reference (g)	Time between report sent for action and taken up by legislative body (in months) (h) = (g) –(b)	Action taken by legislative bodies (j)	Remarks (k)
JIU/REP/2010/7	SWR	16/12/2010	23/09/2011 A/66/348/Add.1	9 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	11.5 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	13.5 months	No decision taken	>1 year to be considered
JIU/REP/2010/6	SWR	22/11/2010	17/08/2011 A/66/308/Add.1	8.5 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	12 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	14.5 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same. > 1 year to be considered
JIU/REP/2010/5	SWR	04/01/2011	23/09/2011 A/66/73/Add.1	8.5 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	11 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	13 months	No decision taken	To correct date EH comments in the WBTS. Date of EH and GB comments are the same. > 1 year to be considered
JIU/REP/2010/4	SWR	22/11/2010	17/08/2011 A/65/788/Add.1	8.5 months	02/12/2011 E/ICEF/2012/4	12 months	10/02/2012 E/ICEF/2012/4	14.5 months	No decision taken	>1 year to be considered.
JIU/REP/2010/3	SWR	18/06/2010	09/09/2010 A/65/345/Add.1	2.5 months	6/12/2010 E/ICEF/2011/4	5.5 months	10/02/2011 E/ICEF/2011/4	7.5 months	No decision taken	
JIU/REP/2010/2	SWR	19/05/2010	01/09/2010 A/65/338/Add.1	3 months	06/12/2010 E/ICEF/2011/4	6.5 months	08/02/2011 E/ICEF/2011/4	8.5 months	No decision taken	To correct date GB comments in the WBTS.

Report	Type of report (system-wide, several or single organization) (a)	Date report sent for action (b)	Date of issuance of CEB comments and document reference (c)	Time taken by CEB secretariat to issue comments (in months) (d)=(c) – (b)	Date of issuance of executive head comments and document reference (e)	Time taken by executive head to issue comments (in months) (f)= (e) –(b)	Date report taken up by legislative body and document reference (g)	Time between report sent for action and taken up by legislative body (in months) (h) = (g) –(b)	Action taken by legislative bodies (j)	Remarks (k)
JIU/REP/2010/1	SWR	19/03/2010	07/09/2010 A/65/346/Add.1	5.5 months	06/12/2010 E/ICEF/2011/4	.8.5 months	08/02/2011 E/ICEF/2011/4	10.5 months	No decision taken	

Total reports: 24.